

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,051	01/29/2001	Kazuhisa Shida	0941.65172	8505
24978	7590 01/16/2003 -			
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			UHLIR, NIKOLAS J	
CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			17.73	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/772,051	SHIDA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nikolas J. Uhlir	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	lovember 2002					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
·=	, _		rosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/772,051

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, 15-18, drawn to a magnetic recording medium, classified in class 428, subclass 694TM.
 - II. Claim 14, drawn to a method for producing a magnetic recording medium utilizing a substrate bias, classified in class 204, subclass 192.1.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by another and materially different process, such as through chemical vapor deposition from two targets having differing compositions, without utilizing a substrate bias.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Patrick Burns on 1/13/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,051

Art Unit: 1773

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Examiners Note

- 6. The examiner sincerely apologizes for any inconvenience caused to the applicant by requiring this restriction after a first action on the merits has been submitted. To be clear on the record, the examiner has not required restriction between product claims 1-7 and 17 from method claims 8-13, 15 and 18, as these method claims do not recite any substantive process limitations that would render them distinct from any prior art that reads on the limitations of the product claims.
- 7. Upon first inspection, the examiner did not interpret claim 19 to require any substantial method limitations (i.e sputtering, vapor deposition, etc.). However, claim 14 requires that a substrate bias voltage be utilized in a process for forming the magnetic recording medium, which upon closer inspection is in fact a substantial method limitation. This limitation essentially requires that a method that utilizes a bias voltage such as sputtering be used to form the layers making up the recording medium. Such a method is disclosed by the specification. As a result, to examine the limitations of claim

Art Unit: 1773

13 along with the other claims presents a serious burden on the examiner, as claim 13 requires a completely different search and is classified in a different area than that of the product and nominal method claims.

- 8. The applicant should note that as the examiner has submitted a first action on the merits, the current examiner will examine the instant application regardless of whether the applicant elects the product or the method. Further, if the applicant elects the product, the amendment/arguments already filed by the applicant on 11/13/02 will be considered and examined, save for the amendment to claim 14 (which would be the non-elected process claim). Regardless of the applicant's elected invention, the office action following the election will be non-final.
- 9. Once again the examiner apologizes for any burden placed on the applicants by requiring this restriction requirement after the first office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikolas J. Uhlir whose telephone number is 703-305-0179. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0389.

nju

January 13, 2003

STEVAN A. RESAN